(1) (3) C.S.1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 345 1. 2004

PETITIONER

Tirath Ram Patel S/o Late Shri HariRam Patel aged about 42 years, Resident of Village & Post-Chhatamura, Tahsil & Dist. Raigarh (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS.

1. The Vice Chancellor of Guru Ghasidas University, Koni, Bilaspur (C.G.)

2. The Ragistrar of Guru Ghasidas University Koni, Bilaspur (C.G.)

3409/04
Presented by Shri R.S. Patel
dated 21-9-04

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE COUNSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN NATURE OF CEERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ,PROHIBITION AND OTHER SUITABLE WRIT OR WRITS, DIRECTION OR DIRECTIONS, ORDER OR ORDERES:

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3451 सन् 2004

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	17/01/2005: -	

Shri R.S. Patel learned counsel for the petitioner.

Heard on I.A. No.7253 of 2004 which is an application for urgent hearing.

The matter is taken up on Board. Hence, I.A. No.7253 of 2004 stands disposed of.

Heard on the question of admission of this writ petition.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the advertisement (Annexure-P/1) dated 17/12/1992 the petitioner was called to appear in the interview on 20/07/1993 for the post of Lower Division Clerk/Hindi Typist in the Establishment of respondents 1 and 2. He further submits that the petitioner appeared in the aforesaid test. Further submission of the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner is that even after appearing in the said test, result of the test has not been declared so far. Learned counsel submits that the petitioner had submitted various representations, but the University authorities are not giving any reply to the same. Learned counsel makes a limited prayer that a direction may be given to the University authorities to declare the result of the petitioner.

In the opinion of this Court, the prayer seems to be genuine and the same is allowed. It is, therefore, directed that the University authorities shall declare the result of the petitioner regarding the interview held on 20/07/1993 in pursuance of the advertisement dated 17/12/1992. If the result has already been declared the same shall be communicated to the petitioner.

With the above observations, the petition stands disposed of finally.

Sd/-
Sunil Kumar Sinha
Judge